arXiv:0902.2456v1 [math-ph] 14 Feb 2009

Permutability of Backlund Transformation for $N = 1$ Supersymmetric Sinh-Gordon [1]

J.F. Gomes[2], L.H. Ymai and A.H. Zimerman

Instituto de Física Teórica-UNESP
Rua Pamplona 145
fax (55)11 31779080
01405-900 São Paulo, Brazil

Abstract

The permutability of two Backlund transformations is employed to construct a non linear superposition formula to generate a class of solutions for the $N = 1$ super sinh-Gordon model.

Backlund transformations (BT) relating two different soliton solutions are known to be characteristic of certain class of nonlinear equations. A remarkable consequence is that from a particular soliton solution, a second solution can be generated by Backlund transformation. This second solution, in turn, generates a third one and such structure allows to construct conditions for the permutability of two sequences of BT.

The study of superposition principle for soliton solutions of the sine-Gordon equation was employed to show that the order of two BT is, in fact, irrelevant [1]. Such condition became known as the *permutability theorem* as was applied to the KdV and for the $N = 1$ super KdV equations in [2] and in [3] respectively.

Soliton solutions for the $N = 1$ super sine-Gordon were obtained in [4] from the super Hirota's formalism and in [5] using dressing transformation and vertex operators. Backlund solutions for super mKdV were considered in [6]. In this paper we use the superfield approach

---

[1] key words:Backlund Transformation, Supersymmetric sinh-Gordon, non linear superposition formula
Pacs 02.30.Ik

[2] corresponding author jfg@ift.unesp.br

for the BT as proposed in [7] to derive a closed algebraic superposition formula for soliton solutions of the $N = 1$ super sinh-Gordon model assuming that two sucessive BT commute.

The model is described by the following equation of motion written within the superfield formalism [7]

$$D_x D_t \Phi = 2i \sinh \Phi, \tag{1}$$

where the bosonic superfield Φ is given in components by

$$\Phi = \phi + \theta_1 \bar{\psi} + i\theta_2 \psi - \theta_1 \theta_2 2i \sinh \phi, \tag{2}$$

where θ_1 and θ_2 are Grassmann variables (i.e. $\theta_1^2 = \theta_2^2 = 0$ and $\theta_1\theta_2 + \theta_2\theta_1 = 0$) The superderivatives

$$D_x = \partial_{\theta_1} + \theta_1 \partial_x, \qquad D_t = \partial_{\theta_2} + \theta_2 \partial_t, \tag{3}$$

satisfy

$$D_x^2 = \partial_x, \qquad D_t^2 = \partial_t, \qquad D_x D_t = -D_t D_x. \tag{4}$$

The Backlund transformation for eqn. (1) is given by [7]

$$\begin{aligned} D_x(\Phi_0 - \Phi_1) &= -\frac{4i}{\beta_1} f_{0,1} \cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_0 + \Phi_1}{2}\right), & (5)\\ D_t(\Phi_0 + \Phi_1) &= 2\beta_1 f_{0,1} \cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_0 - \Phi_1}{2}\right), & (6) \end{aligned}$$

where β_1 is an arbitrary parameter (spectral parameter) and the auxiliary fermionic superfield $f_{0,1}$ (i.e. $f_{0,1}^2 = 0$)

$$f_{0,1} = f_1^{(0,1)} + \theta_1 b_1^{(0,1)} + \theta_2 b_2^{(0,1)} + \theta_1 \theta_2 f_2^{(0,1)}, \tag{7}$$

satisfy

$$D_x f_{0,1} = \frac{2i}{\beta_1} \sinh\left(\frac{\Phi_0 + \Phi_1}{2}\right), \qquad D_t f_{0,1} = \beta_1 \sinh\left(\frac{\Phi_0 - \Phi_1}{2}\right). \tag{8}$$

Consider now two successive Backlund transformations. The first one involving superfields Φ_0 and Φ_1 and the parameter β_1 whilst the second involves Φ_1 and Φ_3 with β_2. The *Permutability theorem* states that the order in which such Backlund transformations are employed is irrelevant, i.e. we might as well consider the first involving Φ_0 and Φ_2 with β_1 followed by a second, involving Φ_2 and Φ_3 with β_2. Similar to (5) we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
D_x(\Phi_0 - \Phi_1) &= -\frac{4i}{\beta_1} f_{0,1} \cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_0 + \Phi_1}{2}\right), &(9)\\
D_x(\Phi_1 - \Phi_3) &= -\frac{4i}{\beta_2} f_{1,3} \cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_1 + \Phi_3}{2}\right), &(10)\\
D_x(\Phi_0 - \Phi_2) &= -\frac{4i}{\beta_2} f_{0,2} \cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_0 + \Phi_2}{2}\right), &(11)\\
D_x(\Phi_2 - \Phi_3) &= -\frac{4i}{\beta_1} f_{2,3} \cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_2 + \Phi_3}{2}\right). &(12)
\end{aligned}$$

and from (8),

$$\begin{aligned}
D_x f_{0,1} &= \frac{2i}{\beta_1} \sinh\left(\frac{\Phi_0 + \Phi_1}{2}\right), &(13)\\
D_x f_{1,3} &= \frac{2i}{\beta_2} \sinh\left(\frac{\Phi_1 + \Phi_3}{2}\right), &(14)\\
D_x f_{0,2} &= \frac{2i}{\beta_2} \sinh\left(\frac{\Phi_0 + \Phi_2}{2}\right), &(15)\\
D_x f_{2,3} &= \frac{2i}{\beta_1} \sinh\left(\frac{\Phi_2 + \Phi_3}{2}\right). &(16)
\end{aligned}$$

The equality of the sum of equations (9) and (10) with the sum of (11) and (12) yields the following relation

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\beta_1} f_{0,1} \cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_0 + \Phi_1}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{\beta_2} f_{1,3} \cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_1 + \Phi_3}{2}\right)\\
&= \frac{1}{\beta_2} f_{0,2} \cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_0 + \Phi_2}{2}\right) + \frac{1}{\beta_1} f_{2,3} \cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_2 + \Phi_3}{2}\right). \qquad (17)
\end{aligned}$$

Analogously from (6) we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
D_t(\Phi_0+\Phi_1) &= 2\beta_1 f_{0,1}\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_0-\Phi_1}{2}\right), && (18)\\
D_t(\Phi_1+\Phi_3) &= 2\beta_2 f_{1,3}\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_1-\Phi_3}{2}\right), && (19)\\
D_t(\Phi_0+\Phi_2) &= 2\beta_2 f_{0,2}\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_0-\Phi_2}{2}\right), && (20)\\
D_t(\Phi_2+\Phi_3) &= 2\beta_1 f_{2,3}\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_2-\Phi_3}{2}\right). && (21)
\end{aligned}
$$

Equating now the difference of the first two, (18) and (19) and the last two equations, (20) and (21) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\beta_1 f_{0,1}\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_0-\Phi_1}{2}\right)-\beta_2 f_{1,3}\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_1-\Phi_3}{2}\right)\\
&=\beta_2 f_{0,2}\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_0-\Phi_2}{2}\right)-\beta_1 f_{2,3}\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_2-\Phi_3}{2}\right). \qquad (22)
\end{aligned}
$$

Solving (17) and (22), for $f_{1,3}$ and $f_{2,3}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{1,3} &= \Lambda^{(1)}_{1,3} f_{0,1}+\Lambda^{(2)}_{1,3} f_{0,2}, && (23)\\
f_{2,3} &= \Lambda^{(1)}_{2,3} f_{0,1}+\Lambda^{(2)}_{2,3} f_{0,2}, && (24)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the coefficients Λ are given as

$$
\Lambda^{(1)}_{1,3}=-\beta_1\beta_2\frac{\left[\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_0+\Phi_1}{2}\right)\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_2-\Phi_3}{2}\right)+\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_0-\Phi_1}{2}\right)\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_2+\Phi_3}{2}\right)\right]}{\left[\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_2-\Phi_3}{2}\right)\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_1+\Phi_3}{2}\right)\beta_1^2-\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_1-\Phi_3}{2}\right)\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_2+\Phi_3}{2}\right)\beta_2^2\right]},
$$

$$
\Lambda^{(2)}_{1,3}=\frac{\left[\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_0+\Phi_2}{2}\right)\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_2-\Phi_3}{2}\right)\beta_1^2+\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_0-\Phi_2}{2}\right)\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_2+\Phi_3}{2}\right)\beta_2^2\right]}{\left[\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_2-\Phi_3}{2}\right)\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_1+\Phi_3}{2}\right)\beta_1^2-\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_1-\Phi_3}{2}\right)\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_2+\Phi_3}{2}\right)\beta_2^2\right]},
$$

$$
\Lambda^{(1)}_{2,3}=-\frac{\left[\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_0-\Phi_1}{2}\right)\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_1+\Phi_3}{2}\right)\beta_1^2+\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_0+\Phi_1}{2}\right)\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_1-\Phi_3}{2}\right)\beta_2^2\right]}{\left[\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_2-\Phi_3}{2}\right)\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_1+\Phi_3}{2}\right)\beta_1^2-\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_1-\Phi_3}{2}\right)\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_2+\Phi_3}{2}\right)\beta_2^2\right]},
$$

$$
\Lambda^{(2)}_{2,3}=\beta_1\beta_2\frac{\left[\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_0+\Phi_2}{2}\right)\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_1-\Phi_3}{2}\right)+\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_0-\Phi_2}{2}\right)\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_1+\Phi_3}{2}\right)\right]}{\left[\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_2-\Phi_3}{2}\right)\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_1+\Phi_3}{2}\right)\beta_1^2-\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_1-\Phi_3}{2}\right)\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_2+\Phi_3}{2}\right)\beta_2^2\right]}, \qquad (25)
$$

Acting with D_x in eqn. (9)-(12) and using (13)- (16), we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_x(\Phi_0 - \Phi_1) &= \frac{4}{\beta_1^2}\sinh(\Phi_0 + \Phi_1) + \frac{4i}{\beta_1} f_{0,1} D_x \left[\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_0 + \Phi_1}{2}\right)\right]. && (26)\\
\partial_x(\Phi_1 - \Phi_3) &= \frac{4}{\beta_2^2}\sinh(\Phi_1 + \Phi_3) + \frac{4i}{\beta_2} f_{1,3} D_x \left[\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_1 + \Phi_3}{2}\right)\right]. && (27)\\
\partial_x(\Phi_0 - \Phi_2) &= \frac{4}{\beta_2^2}\sinh(\Phi_0 + \Phi_2) + \frac{4i}{\beta_2} f_{0,2} D_x \left[\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_0 + \Phi_2}{2}\right)\right]. && (28)\\
\partial_x(\Phi_2 - \Phi_3) &= \frac{4}{\beta_1^2}\sinh(\Phi_2 + \Phi_3) + \frac{4i}{\beta_1} f_{2,3} D_x \left[\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_2 + \Phi_3}{2}\right)\right]. && (29)
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that equations (26)-(29) correspond formally to the pure bosonic case when the terms proportional to the fermionic superfields $f_{i,j}$ are neglected. Equating the R.H.S. of the sum of eqns. (26) with (27) and (28) with (29) we find

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\beta_1^2}\left(\sinh(\Phi_0 + \Phi_1) - \sinh(\Phi_2 + \Phi_3)\right) + \frac{1}{\beta_2^2}\left(\sinh(\Phi_1 + \Phi_3) - \sinh(\Phi_0 + \Phi_2)\right)\\
= &-\frac{i}{\beta_1} f_{0,1} D_x \left[\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_0 + \Phi_1}{2}\right)\right] - \frac{i}{\beta_2} f_{1,3} D_x \left[\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_1 + \Phi_3}{2}\right)\right]\\
+ &\frac{i}{\beta_2} f_{0,2} D_x \left[\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_0 + \Phi_2}{2}\right)\right] + \frac{i}{\beta_1} f_{2,3} D_x \left[\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_2 + \Phi_3}{2}\right)\right]. \qquad (30)
\end{aligned}$$

Factorizing the L.H.S. of (30)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{\beta_1^2}\left(\sinh(\Phi_0 + \Phi_1) - \sinh(\Phi_2 + \Phi_3)\right) + \frac{1}{\beta_2^2}\left(\sinh(\Phi_1 + \Phi_3) - \sinh(\Phi_0 + \Phi_2)\right)\\
= &\; 2\cosh(\frac{\Phi_0 + \Phi_1 + \Phi_2 + \Phi_3}{2})\left(\frac{1}{\beta_1^2}\sinh(\frac{\Phi_0 + \Phi_1 - \Phi_2 - \Phi_3}{2}) + \frac{1}{\beta_2^2}\sinh(\frac{-\Phi_0 + \Phi_1 - \Phi_2 + \Phi_3}{2})\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
= 2\cosh(\frac{\Phi_0 + \Phi_1 + \Phi_2 + \Phi_3}{2}) \Bigg(&\sinh(\frac{\Phi_0 - \Phi_3}{2})\cosh(\frac{\Phi_1 - \Phi_2}{2})\frac{(\beta_1^2 - \beta_2^2)}{\beta_1^2\beta_2^2}\\
&+ \sinh(\frac{\Phi_1 - \Phi_2}{2})\cosh(\frac{\Phi_0 - \Phi_3}{2})(\frac{\beta_1^2 + \beta_2^2}{\beta_1^2\beta_2^2})\Bigg). \qquad (31)
\end{aligned}$$

The vanishing of this expression leads to

$$\Phi_3 - \Phi_0 = 2arctanh\left(\delta\ \tanh(\frac{\Phi_1 - \Phi_2}{2})\right) \equiv \Gamma(\Phi_1 - \Phi_2), \qquad \delta = -\left(\frac{\beta_1^2 + \beta_2^2}{\beta_1^2 - \beta_2^2}\right). \qquad (32)$$

For the more general case taking into account the fermionic superfields $f_{i,j}$ we propose the following ansatz,

$$\Phi_3 = \Phi_0 + \Gamma(\Phi_1 - \Phi_2) + \Delta, \tag{33}$$

where Δ is a bosonic superfield proportional to the product $f_{0,1}f_{0,2}$, i.e.,

$$\Delta = \lambda f_{0,1}f_{0,2}, \qquad \lambda = \lambda(\Phi_1 - \Phi_2). \tag{34}$$

Due to the fact that $\Delta^2 = 0$, eqns. (25) take the general form

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda_{1,3}^{(1)} &= -a + c_1 f_{0,1}f_{0,2}, \\
\Lambda_{1,3}^{(2)} &= -b + c_2 f_{0,1}f_{0,2}, \\
\Lambda_{2,3}^{(1)} &= b + c_3 f_{0,1}f_{0,2}, \\
\Lambda_{2,3}^{(2)} &= a + c_4 f_{0,1}f_{0,2},
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

where $c_i = c_i(\Phi_0, \Phi_1, \Phi_2), i = 1, \cdots 4$ do not contribute to eqns (23) and (24). Substituting (33) and (34) in (25) we obtain

$$a = \frac{\delta_1}{\sqrt{1 - \delta^2 \tanh^2\left(\frac{\Phi_1 - \Phi_2}{2}\right)}}, \qquad b = \frac{\delta \operatorname{sech}\left(\frac{\Phi_1 - \Phi_2}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{1 - \delta^2 \tanh^2\left(\frac{\Phi_1 - \Phi_2}{2}\right)}} \tag{36}$$

where $\delta_1 = \frac{2\beta_1\beta_2}{(\beta_1^2 - \beta_2^2)}$. Inserting (35) in (23) and (24) we find, since $f_{01}^2 = f_{02}^2 = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{1,3} &= -af_{0,1} - bf_{0,2}, \\
f_{2,3} &= bf_{0,1} + af_{0,2}.
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

From the fact that $\delta^2 - \delta_1^2 = 1$, it follows that

$$f_{1,3}f_{2,3} = f_{0,1}f_{0,2}.$$

Adding (9) and (10) we find

$$D_x(\Phi_3-\Phi_0)=\frac{4i}{\beta_1}f_{0,1}\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_0+\Phi_1}{2}\right)+\frac{4i}{\beta_2}f_{1,3}\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_1+\Phi_3}{2}\right). \tag{38}$$

Substituting (33) and (37) in (38) we find

$$f_{0,1}\Sigma_1+f_{0,2}\Sigma_2+(D_x\lambda)f_{0,1}f_{0,2}=0, \tag{39}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_1 &= \partial_\xi\Gamma_{|_{\xi=(\Phi_1-\Phi_2)}}\frac{4i}{\beta_1}\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_0+\Phi_1}{2}\right)-\lambda\frac{2i}{\beta_2}\sinh\left(\frac{\Phi_0+\Phi_2}{2}\right)\\
&\quad -\frac{4i}{\beta_1}\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_0+\Phi_1}{2}\right)-\Lambda^{(1)}_{1,3}\frac{4i}{\beta_1}\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_0+\Phi_1+\Gamma}{2}\right),\\
\Sigma_2 &= -\partial_\xi\Gamma_{|_{\xi=(\Phi_1-\Phi_2)}}\frac{4i}{\beta_2}\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_0+\Phi_2}{2}\right)+\lambda\frac{2i}{\beta_1}\sinh\left(\frac{\Phi_0+\Phi_1}{2}\right)\\
&\quad -\Lambda^{(2)}_{1,3}\frac{4i}{\beta_1}\cosh\left(\frac{\Phi_0+\Phi_1+\Gamma}{2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

The last term in (39) vanishes since

$$D_x\lambda=\partial_\xi\lambda_{|_{\xi=(\Phi_1-\Phi_2)}}D_x(\Phi_1-\Phi_2),$$

and $D_x(\Phi_1-\Phi_2)$, from (9) and (11), is proportional to $f_{0,1}$ and $f_{0,2}$. Since $f_{0,1}$ and $f_{0,2}$ are independent, (39) yields a pair of algebraic equations for λ, i.e. $\Sigma_1=\Sigma_2=0$ which are satisfied by

$$\lambda=-\frac{4\sinh\left(\frac{\Phi_1-\Phi_2}{2}\right)\beta_1\beta_2(\beta_1^2+\beta_2^2)}{\beta_1^4+\beta_2^4-2\cosh(\Phi_1-\Phi_2)\beta_1^2\beta_2^2}. \tag{40}$$

and therefore

$$\Phi_3=\Phi_0+2\,\mathrm{Arctanh}\left[\left(\frac{\beta_2^2+\beta_1^2}{\beta_2^2-\beta_1^2}\right)\tanh\left(\frac{\Phi_1-\Phi_2}{2}\right)\mathrm{e}^{\Omega \mathrm{f}_{0,1}\mathrm{f}_{0,2}}\right], \tag{41}$$

where

$$\Omega=\delta_1\mathrm{sech}\left(\frac{\Phi_1-\Phi_2}{2}\right).$$

In order to write eqn. (41) in components, we need to specify the superfields $f_{0,1}, f_{0,2}$ in terms of the components of Φ_0, Φ_1, Φ_2. These are given by eqns. (5.91), (5.94), (5.96) and (5.98) in the the appendix of ref. [8]. Introducing $\sigma_k = -\frac{2}{\beta_k^2}$ $(k = 1, 2)$, the solution (41) in components according to (2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_3 &= \phi_0 + 2\,\mathrm{Arctanh}\left[\delta \tanh\left(\frac{\phi_1 - \phi_2}{2}\right)\right] \\
&\quad -\frac{\Delta_2}{8\sqrt{\sigma_1\sigma_2}}\left[\frac{\bar{\psi}_0(\bar{\psi}_1 - \bar{\psi}_2) + \bar{\psi}_1\bar{\psi}_2}{\cosh\left(\frac{\phi_0+\phi_1}{2}\right)\cosh\left(\frac{\phi_0+\phi_2}{2}\right)}\right], \qquad (42) \\
\bar{\psi}_3 &= \bar{\psi}_0 + \Delta_1(\bar{\psi}_1 - \bar{\psi}_2) \\
&\quad -\frac{\Delta_2}{2}\left[\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}}\frac{\sinh\left(\frac{\phi_0+\phi_2}{2}\right)}{\cosh\left(\frac{\phi_0+\phi_1}{2}\right)}(\bar{\psi}_0 - \bar{\psi}_1) - \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2}}\frac{\sinh\left(\frac{\phi_0+\phi_1}{2}\right)}{\cosh\left(\frac{\phi_0+\phi_2}{2}\right)}(\bar{\psi}_0 - \bar{\psi}_2)\right], \qquad (43) \\
\psi_3 &= \psi_0 + \Delta_1(\psi_1 - \psi_2) \\
&\quad -\frac{\Delta_2}{2}\left[\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}}\frac{\sinh\left(\frac{\phi_0-\phi_1}{2}\right)}{\cosh\left(\frac{\phi_0-\phi_2}{2}\right)}(\psi_0 + \psi_2) - \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2}}\frac{\sinh\left(\frac{\phi_0-\phi_2}{2}\right)}{\cosh\left(\frac{\phi_0-\phi_1}{2}\right)}(\psi_0 + \psi_1)\right], \qquad (44)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_1 &= \frac{2}{\sinh(\phi_1 - \phi_2)}\left[\frac{\delta\tanh\left(\frac{\phi_1-\phi_2}{2}\right)}{1 - \delta^2\tanh^2\left(\frac{\phi_1-\phi_2}{2}\right)}\right], \\
\Delta_2 &= \frac{A\sinh\left(\frac{\phi_1-\phi_2}{2}\right)}{B - \sinh^2\left(\frac{\phi_1-\phi_2}{2}\right)}, \\
\delta &= \frac{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}{\sigma_1 - \sigma_2}, \qquad A = \frac{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2}{\sqrt{\sigma_1\sigma_2}}, \qquad B = \frac{(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2)^2}{4\,\sigma_1\sigma_2}. \qquad (45)
\end{aligned}$$

One soliton Solution

The Backlund equations in components for $\Phi_0 = 0$ take the form)from (7):

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_x\phi_1 &= 2\,\sigma_1\sinh\phi_1, \qquad \partial_t\phi_1 = \frac{2}{\sigma_1}\sinh\phi_1, \qquad (46) \\
\bar{\psi}_1 &= 2\sqrt{2\sigma_1}\cosh(\phi_1/2)\, f_1^{(0,1)}, \qquad \psi_1 = 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{\sigma_1}}\cosh(\phi_1/2)\, f_1^{(0,1)} \qquad (47) \\
\partial_x f_1^{(0,1)} &= \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1}{2}}\cosh(\phi_1/2)\,\bar{\psi}_1, \qquad \partial_t f_1^{(0,1)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\sigma_1}}\cosh(\phi_1/2)\,\psi_1 \qquad (48)
\end{aligned}$$

By direct integration we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_1 &= \ln\left(\frac{1+E_1}{1-E_1}\right), \qquad E_1 = b_1 \exp\left(2\sigma_1 x + 2\sigma_1^{-1} t\right), && (49)\\ \bar{\psi}_1 &= \epsilon_1 \frac{a_1}{b_1} E_1 \left(\frac{1}{1+E_1} + \frac{1}{1-E_1}\right), \qquad \psi_1 = \frac{\bar{\psi}_1}{\sigma_1}, && (50)\end{aligned}$$

where a_1 and b_1 are arbitrary constants and ϵ_1 is a fermionic parameter.

Two soliton Solution

Choosing $\Phi_0 = 0$ and Φ_1, Φ_2 as one soliton solutions with components

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_k &= \ln\left(\frac{1+E_k}{1-E_k}\right), \qquad E_k = b_k \exp\left(2\sigma_k x + 2\sigma_k^{-1} t\right),\\ \bar{\psi}_k &= \epsilon_k \frac{a_k}{b_k} E_k \left(\frac{1}{1+E_k} + \frac{1}{1-E_k}\right),\\ \psi_k &= \frac{\bar{\psi}_k}{\sigma_k}, \qquad k = 1, 2\end{aligned}$$

where a_k, b_k are arbitrary constants and ϵ_k fermiônic parameters we find from (41),

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_3 &= 2\,\mathrm{Arctanh}\left[\delta \tanh\left(\frac{\phi_1 - \phi_2}{2}\right)\right] - \frac{\Delta_2}{8\sqrt{\sigma_1\sigma_2}}\left[\frac{\bar{\psi}_1\bar{\psi}_2}{\cosh\left(\frac{\phi_1}{2}\right)\cosh\left(\frac{\phi_2}{2}\right)}\right], && (51)\\ \bar{\psi}_3 &= \left[\Delta_1 + \frac{\Delta_2}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}}\frac{\sinh\left(\frac{\phi_2}{2}\right)}{\cosh\left(\frac{\phi_1}{2}\right)}\right]\bar{\psi}_1 - \left[\Delta_1 + \frac{\Delta_2}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2}}\frac{\sinh\left(\frac{\phi_1}{2}\right)}{\cosh\left(\frac{\phi_2}{2}\right)}\right]\bar{\psi}_2, && (52)\\ \psi_3 &= \left[\Delta_1 - \frac{\Delta_2}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_2}}\frac{\sinh\left(\frac{\phi_2}{2}\right)}{\cosh\left(\frac{\phi_1}{2}\right)}\right]\psi_1 - \left[\Delta_1 - \frac{\Delta_2}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\sigma_2}{\sigma_1}}\frac{\sinh\left(\frac{\phi_1}{2}\right)}{\cosh\left(\frac{\phi_2}{2}\right)}\right]\psi_2, && (53)\end{aligned}$$

By rescaling of parameters

$$\begin{aligned}&\sigma_k \to \gamma_k, \qquad \epsilon_k \to c_k \qquad k = 1, 2\\ &b_1 \to \frac{b_1}{2}\left(\frac{\gamma_1 - \gamma_2}{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}\right), \qquad b_2 \to -\frac{b_2}{2}\left(\frac{\gamma_1 - \gamma_2}{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}\right),\\ &a_1 \to -\gamma_1\left(\frac{\gamma_1 - \gamma_2}{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}\right), \qquad a_2 \to \gamma_2\left(\frac{\gamma_1 - \gamma_2}{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}\right).\end{aligned}$$

we verify that solution (51) and (52) coincide precisely with solution (3.28)-(3.29) of ref. [5] (after choosing $\gamma_3 = -\gamma_1$ and $\gamma_4 = -\gamma_2$).

Acknowledgements

LHY acknowledges support from Fapesp, JFG and AHZ thank CNPq for partial support.

References

[1] C. Rogers, in "Soliton Theory:a survey of results", Ed. A. Fordy, Manchester Univ. Press (1990)

[2] H. Wahlquist and F. Estabrook, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **31** (1973) 1386

[3] Q.P. Liu and Y.F. Xie *Phys. Lett.* **325A** (2004) 139; Q.P. Liu and Xing-Biao Hu *J. Physics* **A38** (2005) 6371

[4] B. Grammaticos, A. Ramani and A.S. Carstea, *J. Physics* **A34** (2001) 4881

[5] J.F. Gomes, L. H. Ymai and A.H. Zimerman, *Phys. Lett.* **359A** (2006) 630, hep-th/0607107

[6] Q.P. Liu and Meng-Xia Zhang, *Nonlinearity* **18** (2005) 1597

[7] M. Chaichian and P. Kulish, *Phys. Lett.* **78B** (1978) 413

[8] J.F. Gomes, L. H. Ymai and A.H. Zimerman, *J. Physics* **A39** (2006) 7471, hep-th/0601014